

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignin 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/033,625	12/27/2001	Hector Belmares	A148 1620.1	9305	
75	90 06/25/2003				
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, PLLC			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 7037 Atlanta, GA 3	0357-0037		WOODWARD, A	NA LUCRECIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711		
			DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit		
-Th MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover she	et beneath th	orrespondence address—		
P riod for Reply	<b>^</b> .				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S	S) FROM THE MAILING DATE		
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by an adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> <li>Status</li> </ul> Responsive to communication(s) filed on	a reply within the statuto ault, expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the applica	y minimum of thirty ( HS from the mailing o tion to become ABA nunication, even if tim	30) days will be considered timely. date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
	1 1				
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>	ant for formal mothers		to the media to stored to		
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19</li> </ul>	935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G	, prosecution as ` . 213.	to the ments is closed in		
Disposition f Claims					
☑ Claim(s)	is/are (	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above claim(s)	is/are \	_ is/are withdrawn from consid ration.			
□ Clạim(s)		is/are a	allowed.		
□ Claim/(s)	-	is/are r	ejected.		
□ Claim(s) 1-41	is/are	is/are objected to.			
□/Claim(s)					
Applicati n Papers    The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 appro	require ved □ disapprov			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	ected to by the Exam	iner			
☐ Th specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Pri rity und r 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19 (a)–(d).			
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:					
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	received in Applicat	on <b>N</b> o			
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received					
in this national stage application from the Internation	•	• ••			
*Certified copies not received:		-	•		
Attachment(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	☐ Int_rview Summary, PTO-413				
☐ Notice of Ref_rence(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Infor	☐ Notice of Informal Pat nt Application, PTO-152			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	□ Other				
Office	Action Summary				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-18, drawn to a composition, classified in classes 524 and 525, subclass various.
  - II. Claims 19-36, drawn to a coated panel, classified in class 428, subclass various
  - III. Claims 37-47, drawn to a panel, classified in class 428+, subclass various.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I with each of II and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a molding composition in the production of self-supporting films, etc. and as a molding or coating composition in and of itself without the presence of additional ingredients and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/033,625

Art Unit: 1711

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

4. Claims 1-47 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the various materials embraced by the polyamide scavenger. The election of an ultimate species of polyamide scavenger is requested. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. A telephone call was made to applicants' representative on June 23, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (703) 308-2401. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 10/033,625

Art Unit: 1711

Page 4

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-8183.

Ana L. Woodward

Examiner Art Unit 1711

AW

June 23, 2003